

| # | Line, Section, Table, Entire Document, etc. | Comment | Commenter | State or Organization | Disposition (Completed By Subteam) | Actions(s) (Completed by Subteam) | Key Participant(s) (Completed by Subteam) |
|-----|---|--|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Ex. | Lines 122-156 | <p>Please be as descriptive as possible. Where applicable, please propose revised or replacement text. Please ensure that comments focus on the substance of the document. Changes in grammar, punctuation, and word-choice should only be proposed if they significantly alter the meaning of the text.</p> <p>For those not using OneDrive, comments should be submitted via e-mail, to sylau@hko.gov.hk. Thank you, in-advance, for your input.</p> | S. Albersheim | U.S. | | | |
| 1 | 3.2.1 h) | rough quote: "RHWC to provide sigmets for phenomena for which an advisory has not yet been issued." unquote >> could get a bit problematic if a cross-MWO phenomenon has been addressed by one MWO and not the other. Suggest insertion of the word 'complete'. New wording: 'RHWC to provide sigmets for phenomena for which a complete advisory has not yet been issued.' | Klaus Sievers | IFALPA | Tech edit. Accepted. | | |
| 2 | 3.8.1 | This paragraph seems to mean that the users (pilots, airlines, operators) will not see the RHWC issued advisories. Suggest clarification of this aspect. | Klaus Sievers | IFALPA | Substantive comment. To be discussed. | | |
| 3 | 3.1.4 | 'When a special air-report is received at the meteorological watch office but the forecaster considers that the phenomenon causing the report is not expected to persist and therefore does not warrant issuance of a SIGMET, the special air-report shall be disseminated in the same way that SIGMET messages are disseminated in accordance with Appendix 6, 1.2.1, i.e. to meteorological watch offices, WAFCs, and other meteorological offices in accordance with regional navigation agreement'. May be it would be useful to disseminate and collect all special air-reports (ARS) independently on a SIGMET issue and forecaster's opinion? | Yuliya Naryshkina | Russia | Scientific comment. To be discussed. | | |
| 4 | APP 6-9 Annex 3 (Table A6-1) not included | Taking into account the different impact of weather conditions (icing, turbulence) on different types of aircrafts, it would be useful receive and include type of aircraft at the beginning of air report, for example ARS B737 instead of VA812 (Table A6-1, Template for SIGMET and AIRMET messages and special air-report (uplink) - this Table was not included in the Annex 3 amendment project). | Yuliya Naryshkina | Russia | Scientific comment but not directly related to the current issue. Suggest to be dealt with it separately. | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|----|----------|---|---------------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| 5 | 3.8.1.3 | suggest to delete "meteorological watch offices", as they are already mentioned in 3.8.1.1 | Fabien Masson & Philippe Husson | France | Administrative comment. "Issuing" advisory to MWO is quite different from "maintaining close coordination with" MWO. Suggest to keep the original statement. | | |
| 6 | 1.1 | For more clarity, wording harmonization is needed here and in some other places in the document. We talk about "hazardous weather" and not about "significant weather" (line 4 in 1.1) | Stéphanie Desbios | France | Administrative comment. Accepted. | | |
| 7 | 3.2.1 h) | Line 2 & line 4 : en-route hazardous weather | Stéphanie Desbios | France | Administrative comment. Accepted. | | |
| 8 | 3.8.3 | "... and the meteorological watch offices" (typo) | Stéphanie Desbios | France | Tech edit. Accepted. | | |
| 9 | | As coordination between adjacent RHWACs would be needed for harmonisation purposes of the advisory information (in case of hazardous weather crossing over the boundary between two RWHACs' AoR), suggest to add a new provision under §3.8 : 3.8.4 Close coordination shall be maintained between adjacent RHWACs in case of hazardous weather affecting their areas of responsibility at the same time or successively. | Stéphanie Desbios | France | Administrative comment. Accepted but might be integrated with 3.8.3. | | |
| 10 | 6.1 | Suggest to change the paragraph title : Regional hazardous weather advisory information | Stéphanie Desbios | France | Tech edit. Accepted. | | |
| 11 | 1.1 | Apart from excluding TC and volcanic ash, need to also exclude space weather. | Larry Burch | US | Tech edit. Accepted. | | |
| 12 | 3.8.1 b) | Suggest to delete "in abbreviated plain language" as this should not be a core SARP, rather moved to the Appendix 6 in the Annex | Larry Burch | US | Administrative comment. Accepted. | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |